

TECHNICAL REPORT

WVT-6923

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS
FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES

BY

ROYCE W. SOANES, JR

JUNE 1969

BENET R&E LABORATORIES

WATERVLIET ARSENAL

WATERVLIET- NEW YORK

CLIARINGHOUSE

i	-	7.			's wad
1	100 -		:	3 103	ite :
	distri	buben		.ited	

DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position.

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES

ABSTRACT

A simple derivation of Maximum Likelihood the likelihood function for Likelihood Function generally censored samples Censored Data is given, where "generally censored sample" connotes: a set of failure data containing both failures and survivors with various different tentative survival times.

Cross-Reference Data

Truncated Tests

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
	Abstract	1
1	Introduction	3
2	Multinomial Process	4
3	Likelihood Function	6
	References	14
	DD Forms 1473	

1. INTRODUCTION

In an ideal situation, one is presented with a set of failure data in which every piece of data indicates that a failure has taken place, i.e., one has information on failures and on failures only. In this simplest of situations, parameter estimation may be carried out in a variety of relatively simple ways.

More often than not, however, this situation is not the case; instead, one is presented with a number of indicated failures and some additional information on items which have been tested to varying degrees, but not to failure. The reason for lack of further testing on the unfailed specimens may be an economic one or it may be that the unfailed items have been discarded for virtually any reason. Irrespective of the reasons involved, the data has been "censored", i.e., someone has either purposely or inadvertently prevented us from seeing just exactly when some of the items tested will fail. This report deals with the general method of maximum likelihood as applied to this general censoring problem.

2. MULTINOMIAL PROCESS

The multinomial process is of central importance in the derivation of the censored likelihood function. A multinomial process is simply the repeated performance of an experiment E m times where the experiment has F (mutually exclusive and exhaustive) outcomes with associated probabilities. A description of the process may be abbreviated symbolically:

Perform experiment E m times where the outcomes of E are:

$$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_r$$

$$P(Q_i) = P_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

Repetition of experiment E may be viewed as a composite experiment E, a typical outcome of which is:

$$Q = m, Q' A, m_2 Q' A, \dots$$
 $m_r Q_r A$

are observed. The probability of this typical outcome of $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ is given by:

$$P(Q_{e}) = P(m_{1}, m_{2}, ..., m_{r})$$

$$= \frac{m!}{m_{1}! m_{1}! ... m_{r}!} p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} ... p_{r}^{m_{r}}$$
(1)

This formula is given in any elementary statistics text under the heading "multinomial distribution." It should be noted that $P(\mathcal{O}_{c})$ is merely the probability of an outcome of \mathcal{E}_{c} ; in general one wants the probability of an event (aggregation of outcomes). An event is given by a set of conditions on the \mathcal{M}_{i} .

Therefore,


$$P(A) = \sum_{\text{(such that the } M_1, \, m_2, \, \dots, \, m_r)} (\text{such that the } M_2, \, \text{satisfy})$$

(2)

LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION

The principle of maximum likelihood dictates that we find the parameters which maximize the theoretical probability of obtaining the results we actually did obtain, i.e., other results "should" be less likely than the actual results.

The theoretical probability of obtaining the observed results must therefore be obtained first. The event describing the observed results is: \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K} fail at specific times $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{K}_K$ and \mathcal{K} survive for various lengths of time $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{K}_K$ without failing $\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K}_1$. Pictorially, the actual test results will look like:



A theoretical one by one test of each item to failure may be viewed as a multinomial process with the following outcomes (for E).

$$\begin{array}{l}
O_{1} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{1}, \chi_{1} + d\chi) \\
O_{2} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{2}, \chi_{2} + d\chi) \\
O_{3} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{3}, \chi_{3} + d\chi) \\
O_{4} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{4}, \chi_{4} + d\chi) \\
O_{5} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{7} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi) \\
O_{8} \equiv \chi \in (\chi_{5}, \chi_{5} + d\chi)$$

Strictly speaking, these outcomes are not mutually exclusive in a set theoretic sense; they are in a measure theoretic sense, however, and this is sufficient. This abuse of notation should be tolerated here for the sake of brevity.

If is the underlying density function and is the distribution function, the probabilities corresponding to these outcomes are:

$$P' = f(x_1) dx$$

$$P' = f(x_2) dx$$

$$P' = f(x_k) dx$$

$$P' = F(x_k) - F(x_k)$$

Now that E has been specified, the probability of obtaining the observed results in a theoretical test of items to failure is desired. The event describing the observed results is:

$$M_{\lambda} = 1$$

$$M_{\lambda} = 1$$

$$M_{K} = 1$$

$$M_{\lambda} = k$$

$$M_{\lambda} = k$$

$$M_{\lambda} = k$$

$$M_{\lambda+1} = 0$$

and

$$k \leq 1$$

$$k \leq 2$$

$$k \leq 3$$

$$k \leq 3$$

$$k \leq 4$$

$$k \leq 4$$

$$k \leq 4$$

$$k \leq 3$$

$$k \leq 4$$

$$k \leq 3$$

$$k \leq 4$$

$$k \leq 4$$

$$k \leq 4$$

The probability of obtaining the observed results is therefore:

These sums are to be taken over all k such that $k \leq k \leq l$ (for all $l \leq N$)

That is, for each term of the sum, all $k \leq l$ must be assigned and they must be assigned such that for all $l \leq N$,

The symbol denotes "implies."

The likelihood function is therefore defined:

$$L = \sum_{k,l,\ldots,k_{N}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{K} f(x_{i}) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ F(a_{j+1}) - F(a_{j}) \right\} \right]$$

$$\left(l \leq N \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{S} k \leq l, \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} k_{i} = N$$

$$(5)$$

This expression may be written logarithmically:

$$L = \sum_{k,! \dots k_{N}!} \frac{1}{e^{-k}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{e^{-k}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{e^{-k}} \sum_{k=1}^{N$$

Equation (5) may be written more succinctly if one of the products is factored out:

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{K} f(x_i) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \mid \dots \mid k_N \mid \\ j=1}}^{M!} \left(F(y_{j+1}) - F(y_{j}) \right)^{k_j}$$

$$\left(e_N \Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ N \neq i}}^{k} k_i \leq \ell_i \right)$$

$$= S \prod_{i=1}^{K} f(x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ N \neq i}}^{K} f(x_i)$$

Consider the case when say $\mathcal{N}=3$. There are five terms for S in this case; the \mathcal{L} for each term are given by the table:

	k.	R2	k3
S,	l	l	1
Sz	0	2	
S3	1	0	2
54	0		Z
Ss	0	0	3

An important special case arises when $\mathcal{A}_{i} = \mathcal{A}_{2} = \mathcal{A}_{3} = \dots = \mathcal{A}_{N}$. In this case, all terms of \mathcal{A}_{i} vanish except one; the maximization of the likelihood function is therefore considerably easier. The likelihood function will in general be amenable to maximization only through an approximate numerical method. Such a method may involve taking the first or second partial derivatives of \mathcal{A}_{i} with respect to the parameters.

REFERENCES:

Shooman, M. L., <u>Probabilistic Reliability</u>, McGraw-Hill, pp. 475 - 480

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D (Security clessification of title, body of abetract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)			
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)			
Watervliet Arsenal Watervliet, N.Y. 12189		2b. GROUP	nclassified
3. REPORT TITLE			
MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUT SAMPLES	'ION PARAMETI	ERS FROM GE	ENERALLY CENSORED
4. OESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
Technical Report 5. AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
Royce W. Soanes, Jr.			
6. REPORT OATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
June 1969	16		1
84. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUMB	ER(\$)
b. PROJECT NO	WVT-6923		
с.	9b. OTHER REPOR	RT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be seei gned	
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
This document has been approved for public unlimited.	release and	d sale; its	distribution is
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING N	ILITARY ACTIV	TITY
	U.S.Arm	ny Weapons	Command
18. ABSTRACT	<u> </u>	 	
A simple derivation of the likelihood function for generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored sample" connotes: a set of failure data containing both failures and survivors with various different tentative survival times.			

Unclassified Security Classification LINK A LINK B LINK C KEY WORDS ROLE ROLE ROLE WT WT w T Maximum Likelihood Likelihood Function Censored Data Truncated Tests

Unclassified

Accession No. Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. SSTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS SHED SAMPLES by Royce W. Soanes, Jr. June 1969, 16 pages. Unclassified Truncated Teats of the likelihood function for samples is given, where "generally notes: a set of failure data constant and survivors with various differ-Unlimited Unlimited	Accession No. Watervliet, N.Y. STIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS June 1969, 16 pages. Unclassified Truncated Teats of the likelihood function for samples is given, where "generally nonces: a set of failure data consolers: a set of failure data consolers. But times. Distribution Unlimited
AD Benet Laboratories, MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD F FROM GENERALLY CENSG Report No. WVT-6923, Report A simple derivation generally censored s censored sample" containing both failure ent tentative surviv	AD Benet Laboratories, MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD E FROM GENERALLY CENSY Report No. WYT-6923, Report A simple derivation generally censored s censored sample" containing both failure ent tentative survive
Maximum Likelihood Likelihood Function Censored Data Truncated Tests Distribution Unlimited	Maximum Likelihood Likelihood Function Censored Data Truncated Tests Distribution Unlimited
AD Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES by Royce W. Soanes, Jr. Report No. WVT-6923, June 1969, 16 pages. Unclassified Report A simple derivation of the likelihood function for generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored sample" connotes: a set of failure data con- taining both failures and survivors with various differ- ent tentative survival times.	Accession No. Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES by Royce W. Soanes, Jr. Report No. WVT-6923, June 1969, 16 pages. Unclassified Report A simple derivation of the likelihood function for generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored samples and survivors with various different tentative survival times.

ON PARAMETERS Soanes, Jr. Unclassified Truncated Tests ion for "generally e data con- rious differ- Unlimited	Con PARAMETERS Soanes, Jr. Consored Data Unclassified Truncated Tests ion for "generally e data con- rrious differ- Unlimited
AD Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Wat Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet CENSORED SAMPLES by Royce W. Report No. WVT-6923, June 1969, 16 pages. Report A simple derivation of the likelihood funct generally censored samples is given, where censored sample, connotes: a set of failurtaining both failures and survivors with vaent tentative survival times.	AD Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES by Royce W. Soanes, Jr. Report No. WVT-6923, June 1969, 16 pages. Unclassified Report A simple derivation of the likelihood function for generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored samples" connotes: a set of failure data containing both failures and survivors with various different tentative survival times.
Maximum Likelihood Likelihood Function Censored Data Truncated Tests Distribution Unlimited	Maximum Likelihood Likelihood Function Censored Data Truncated Tests Distribution Unlimited
AD Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES by Royce W. Soanes, Jr. Report A simple derivation of the likelihood function for generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored samples a set of failure data containing both failures and survivors with various different tentative survival times.	AD Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N.Y. MAXIMUM LIKELIHODD ESTIMATION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS FROM GENERALLY CENSORED SAMPLES by Royce W. Soanes, Jr. Report No. WVT-6923, June 1969, 16 pages. Unclassified Report A simple derivation of the likelihood function for generally censored samples is given, where "generally censored sample" connotes: a set of failure data con- taining both failures and survivors with various differ- ent tentative aurvival times.